

This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:				
Brand:	Rev'lt			
Model:	Factor 3			
Туре:	Pants - Textile			
Date purchased:	29 November 2018			
Sizes tested:	L			
Gender:	M & F			
Style:	All Purpose			
Test code:	P18T09			

Test Results Summary:

	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	*	15.2
Abrasion	1/10	0.07
Burst	8/10	873
Impact	3/10	20.5
MotoCAP Comfort Rating	*	0.216
Moisture Vapour Resistance		54.0
Thermal Resistance		0.194
Water resistance	8/10	3.0

This garment is fitted with impact protectors in the knee and hip areas. This garment does not provide vents to aid cooling in hot weather.

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.



The garment was tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone.

Details of materials used in garment:

Material A:	Waxed polyester fabric, water resistant layer and thin woven inner liner
Material B:	Polyester fabric shell, water resistant layer and thin woven inner liner

Zone	Coverage	Abrasion time for each test (seconds)						Average
	(%)	1	2	3	4	5	6	(seconds)
Zone 1 and 2	areas (High abra	sion risk)						
Material A	20%	0.77	0.63	0.86	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.81 P
Material B	80%	0.36	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.35	0.68	0.39 P
Zone 3 area (Medium abrasio	n risk)						
Material B	100%	0.36	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.35	0.68	0.39 P
Zone 4 area (Low abrasion ris	k)						
Material B	100%	0.36	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.35	0.68	0.39 P

The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table above. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Burst Strength

The garment's burst strength was tested in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows



the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure (kPA)						
Area	1	2	3	4	5	Average
Zones 1 & 2	89	335	696	142	429	338 P
Zone EZ	1321	1256	1144	1406	1074	1240 G
Zones 3 & 4	819	1401	983	1476	1368	1209 G

The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table above.



Impact Protection

The garment was tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols.



The table below shows the test results for each strike on each impact protector in kilonewton (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone.

Impact protector type		Knee			Hip	
Average force (kN)		24.4	M		28.7	Μ
Maximum force (kN)		31.6	M		29.4	M
Coverage of zone 1 area		95%			90%	
Coverage of zone after of	lisplacement	40%			90%	
Individual test results						
Impact force (kN)	Knee			Hip		
Strike location	Α	В	С	Α	В	С
Impact Protector 1	16.3	23.5	29.9	29.4	27.7	29.1
Impact Protector 2	20.4	26.1	29.7	28.9	28.6	28.3
Impact Protector 3	21.4	20.5	31.6	29.0	28.9	28.6

The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table above. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximium force for each impact zone.



* Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Thermal comfort

The garment was tested for thermal comfort following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

у мотосар			
	1	2	Average
Moisture Vapour Resistance - R _{et}	52.6	55.4	54.0
(kPam²/W)			
	1	2	Average
Thermal Resistance - R _{ct}	0.189	0.200	0.194
(Km²/W)			

Water spray and rain resistance

This garment is advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the increased weight (g) and proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorb	ed by garment	Water absorbed by underwear		
	Mass (g)	Percentage (%)	Mass (g)	Percentage (%)	
Pants 1	317.6	30%	10.5	4%	
Pants 2	248.4	29%	5.1	2%	
Average	283.0	30%	7.8	3%	

Location of wetting:

There was no visible wetting evident on the cotton undergarments worn under the motorcycle water resistant pants after testing.