


This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand: RST
Model: Blade II CE
Type: Jacket - Textile
Date purchased: 15 August 2019
Sizes tested: 56, 58
Gender: M
Style: Sports
Test code: J19T22


Test Results Summary:


	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	★★	39.0
Abrasion	1/10	0.48
Burst	10/10	1466
Impact	9/10	73.2
MotoCAP Comfort Rating	↘	0.102
Moisture Vapour Resistance		211.6
Thermal Resistance		0.361
Water resistance	2/10	21


This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the elbows, shoulders and back. Vents are provided on the arms and back to allow airflow movement through the garment. The thermal comfort rating is based on tests of the breathability of the garment when all vents are closed. The thermal comfort of this product may be better when the vents can be opened.


Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones


This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.



Zone 1

 High risk of abrasion
 High risk of impact

Zone 2

 High risk of abrasion

Zone 3

 Medium risk of abrasion

Zone 4

 Low risk of abrasion

Abrasion Resistance

The garment was tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone.

Details of materials used in garment:

Material A: High density woven fabric shell, water resistance layer and mesh inner liner
 Material B: Woven fabric shell, water resistant layer and mesh inner liner

Zone	Coverage (%)	Abrasion time for each test (seconds)						Average (seconds)	
		1	2	3	4	5	6		
Zone 1 and 2 areas (High abrasion risk)									
Material A	60%	4.95	4.08	2.30	2.76			3.52	A
Material B	40%	0.34	0.61	0.67	0.75	0.67	0.67	0.62	P
Zone 3 area (Medium abrasion risk)									
Material B	100%	0.34	0.61	0.67	0.75	0.67	0.67	0.62	P
Zone 4 area (Low abrasion risk)									
Material B	100%	0.34	0.61	0.67	0.75	0.67	0.67	0.62	M

Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table above. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Determining Criteria		Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zone 1/2:	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3:	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4:	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Burst Strength

The garment's burst strength was tested in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPa) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure (kPa)

Area	1	2	3	4	5	Average	
Zones 1 & 2	1203	1772	1939	1890	1935	1748	G
Zone EZ	1010	1066	1330	1413	992	1162	G
Zones 3 & 4	629	1803	1883	1812	1419	1510	G

The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table above.



Impact Protection

The garment was tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the test results for each strike on each impact protector in kilonewton (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone.

Impact protector type	Elbow		Shoulder	
Average force (kN)	8.6	G	9.2	G
Maximum force (kN)	10.2	G	12.5	G
Coverage of zone 1 area	110%		120%	
Coverage of zone after displacement	100%		100%	

Individual test results

Impact force (kN)	Elbow			Shoulder		
	A	B	C	A	B	C
Impact Protector 1	8.3	8.8	10.2	7.2	9.2	10.5
Impact Protector 2	7.4	8.6	8.4	7.7	9.2	10.5
Impact Protector 3	7.1	8.2	10.0	8.2	7.5	12.5

The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table above. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone.



Determining Criteria	Impact force (kN)			
	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force (kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

* Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Areas shaded black are not considered in the impact protection ratings.

Thermal comfort

The garment was tested for thermal comfort following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

	1	2	Average
Moisture Vapour Resistance - R_{et} (kPam ² /W)	216.7	206.6	211.6
	1	2	Average
Thermal Resistance - R_{ct} (Km ² /W)	0.338	0.383	0.361

Water spray and rain resistance

This garment is advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbed by garment		Water absorbed by underwear	
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)
Garment 1	585	27%	104	37%
Garment 2	544	25%	13	5%
Average	564	26%	59	21%

Location of wetting:

Visible wetting to the cotton underwear worn under the motorcycle water resistant garment was present over the neck and chest of both garments tested.