



This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand: Five

Model: RFX2 Airflow

Type: Glove - Leather

Date purchased: 24 March 2020

Sizes tested: XL and 2XL

Gender: M Style: Sports Test code: G19L48

Test Results Summary:

	Rating	Result
MotoCAP Protection Rating	**	3.4
Abrasion	10/10	5.30
Seam strength	1/10	4.8
Impact	3/10	5.9
Water resistance	N/A	N/A

This glove is fitted with impact protection for the knuckles and palm. There is no impact protection for the wrist area. Vents in the knuckle protectors combined with perforated leather in the fingers and palm and mesh in the wrist provide continuous airflow movement within the glove.

Gloves - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.



Zone 1
High risk of impact

Zone 2
High risk of abrasion

Zone 3

Medium risk of abrasion

Zone 4

Low risk of abrasion



Abrasion Resistance

The glove was tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the test results for time to abrade to material failure for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone.

Details of materials used in garment:

Material A: Leather patch over perforated leather shell with aramid fabric inner liner

Material B: Perforated leather patch over perforated leather shell

Material C: Perforated leather shell

Material D: Leather shell with aramid inner liner

Material E: Mesh fabric shell, foam layer and mesh inner liner

Zone	Coverage	Abrasion	Abrasion time for each test (s)						
	(%)	1	2	3	4	5	6	(s)	
Zone 2 area (High abrasion ris	sk)						. ,	
Material A	100%	6.91	6.26	6.33	10.00	5.89	7.95	7.22 G	
Zone 3 area (Medium abrasio	n risk)							
Material B	40%	2.47	2.58	2.51	3.11	3.62	3.66	2.99 A	
Material C	60%	0.75	0.95	0.82	1.07	0.64		0.85 P	
Zone 4 area (Low abrasion ris	sk)							
Material D	50%	5.37	5.66	5.53	7.31	4.97		5.77 G	
Material E	50%	1.42	0.87	1.04	1.91	1.71	1.63	1.43 M	

Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each Zone calculated from the data in the table above. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



		Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Determining Criteria					
High abrasion risk	Zone 2:	> 4.0	2.7 - 4.0	1.2 - 2.6	< 1.2
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3:	> 3.5	2.5 - 3.5	1.0 - 2.4	< 1.0
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4:	>2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8



Seam Tensile Strength

The tensile strength of the gloves seams and glove restraint (the force required to drag off a properly fastened glove) were tested in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the seam tensile strength in newtons per millimeter (N/mm) for each seam tested by Zone and the average result for each Zone.

Seam tensile strength (N/mm)

Area	1	2	3	4	5	Average
Zones 2 & 3	4.08	1.62	3.14	8.84	1.44	3.82 P
Zone 4	9.25	8.92	8.89	12.19	15.22	10.89 A

The table below shows the force required to remove the restrained glove in newtons (N) for each of the five gloves tested and the average result.

Glove restraint (N)

Glove	1	2	3	4	5	Average
Wrist restraint	242.0	293.1	236.8	356.4	324.9	290.6 M

The diagram below illustrates the tensile strength and wrist restraint results in terms of the likely performance of the glove in a crash and is a pictorial representation of the data from the tables above.



		Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Determining Criteria					
Seam tensile strength	(N/mm)	> 15	10 - 15	6.5 - 9.9	< 6.5
Glove restraint	(N)	> 400	300 - 400	200 - 299	<200



Impact Protection

The glove was tested for impact protection and coverage In accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the test results for each strike on each impact protector in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage in percentage (%) within the Zone.

Impact protector typ	e	Knuckles	Palm	Wrist
Average force	(kN)	1.4 G	2.9 G	P
Maximum force	(kN)	1.7 G	3.2 G	P
Coverage of zone 1	area	100%	80%	0%

Impact forces are capped at a maximum of 10.0kN.

Individual test results

Impact force (kN)	Knuckles			Palm	
Strike number	1	2	3	1	2
Impact Protector 1	1.7	1.5	1.3	2.8	2.8
Impact Protector 2	1.4	1.4	1.2	3.0	2.8
Impact Protector 3	1.2	1.3	1.5	3.2	3.0
Impact force (kN)	Wrist	No impact pro	tector present		
Strike number	1	2			

Impact Protector 1

Impact Protector 2

Impact Protector 3

The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table above. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximium force for each impact zone.



	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Determining Criteria				
Knuckle and wrist Impact force (kN)	< 2	2 - 4.9	5 - 8	> 8
Palm impact force (kN)	< 4	4 - 5.9	6 - 8	>8

^{*} Poor may also indicate that no impact protector is present in the glove

Areas shaded black are not considered in the impact protection ratings.



Water spray and rain resistance

This glove has not been advertised as water resistant so has not been tested for water spray and rain resistance.