



**This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:**



Brand	Klim
Model	Badlands Pro
Type	Pants - Textile
Date purchased	25 October 2019
Sizes tested	34 and 36
Test garment gender	Male
Style	All Purpose
RRP	\$1,195.00

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	★★★	47.1
Abrasion	3/10	2.15
Burst	10/10	1606
Impact	9/10	67.6
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	★★	0.362
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	38.5
Thermal Resistance	-	0.232
Water resistance	10/10	0.95

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the knees and hips. There are vents in the front and back of the upper legs to allow airflow movement through the garment. The breathability rating is based on tests of the breathability of the garment when all vents are closed. The breathability of this product may be better when the vents can be opened.

**Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones**

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.



**Removable liners**

Thermal liner	<input type="checkbox"/>
Water-resistant liner	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Removable impact protection**

	Pockets	Armour
Knee	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Hip	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Abrasion Resistance

These pants were tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



### Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	3/10
Abrasion score	2.15

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zones 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

**Individual Abrasion Resistance Results:** - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

### Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zones 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	80%	2.31	3.21	2.09	2.60	2.42	3.59	2.70	M
Material B	20%	2.20	1.89	1.82	1.99	2.36	2.27	2.09	M
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material C	30%	8.02	6.01	5.98	15.52			6.67	G
Material D	70%	0.52	0.67	0.88	0.46	0.31	0.34	0.53	P
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material D	100%	0.52	0.67	0.88	0.46	0.31	0.34	0.53	M

### Details of materials used in jacket

Material A	Plastic coated fabric patch, woven fabric shell, water-resistant layer and mesh inner liner
Material B	High density fabric patch, woven fabric shell, water-resistant layer and mesh inner liner
Material C	Leather patch, woven fabric shell, water-resistant layer and mesh inner liner
Material D	Woven fabric shell, water-resistant layer and mesh inner liner

## Burst Strength

These pants were tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



### Burst Strength Performance

Burst rating	10/10
Burst score	1606

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

**Individual Burst Strength Results:** - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

### Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Zones 1 & 2	1631	1948	1765	1757	1249	1360	1618	G
Zones 3 & 4	1926	1799	896	1647	1905	1176	1558	G

## Impact Protection

These pants were tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



### Impact Protection Performance

Impact rating	9/10
Impact score	67.6

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

\* Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

**Impact Protector Results:** - The table below shows the average and maximum force transmitted through each impact protector type in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone.

Impact protector type	Knee		Hip	
Average force (kN)	13.6	G	10.8	G
Maximum force (kN)	20.1	A	11.3	G
Coverage of Zone 1 area	150%		120%	
Coverage of Zone after displacement	80%		100%	

**Individual Impact Protector Results:** - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

### Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Knee			Hip		
	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1	9.7	11.0	17.5	10.8	10.3	11.1
Impact Protector 2	9.5	11.0	19.8	10.7	10.7	11.1
Impact Protector 3	10.1	13.7	20.1	10.7	10.8	11.3

## Breathability

These pants were tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable liners		With water-resistant liner	
Breathability rating	★★	Breathability rating	N/A
Breathability score	0.362	Breathability score	N/A

Moisture Vapour Resistance - $R_{et}$ (kPa.m <sup>2</sup> /W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liners	36.0	40.9	38.5
With water-resistant liner	N/A	N/A	N/A
Thermal Resistance - $R_{ct}$ (K.m <sup>2</sup> /W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liners	0.219	0.245	0.232
With water-resistant liner	N/A	N/A	N/A

## Water spray and rain resistance

This pants are advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbed by garment		Water absorbed by underwear	
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)
Pants 1	119	8%	3	0.97%
Pants 2	124	8%	3	0.93%
<b>Average</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.95%</b>

## Location of wetting

There was no visible wetting to the cotton underwear for either pants tested.

### Assessment Details.

Brand	Klim
Model	Badlands Pro
Type	Pants - Textile
Date purchased	25 October 2019
Tested by	AMCAF, Deakin University
Garment test reference	P19T12
Rating first published	September 2020
Rating updated	3 September 2020