



This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand Dririder

Model Nordic 4 Airflow
Type Jacket - Textile
Date purchased 3 April 2023
Sizes tested XL and 2XL

Test garment gender Male


Style All Purpose RRP \$359.95

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	****	52.2
Abrasion	7/10	5.20
Burst	10/10	1438
Impact	5/10	39.5
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	*	0.254
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	63.0
Thermal Resistance	-	0.267
Water resistance	1/10	34.5

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the elbows and shoulders. A pocket is provided for an aftermarket back protector. Mesh panels are located in the chest, arm and back to allow airflow movement through the garment. This garment has a removable 2 in 1 thermal/water-resistant liner. The breathability rating above was achieved with the 2 in 1 thermal/water-resistant liner removed. When tested with the 2 in 1 thermal/water-resistant liner installed, the breathability rating reduced to half a star.

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.





Abrasion Resistance

The jacket was tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	7/10
Abrasion score	5.20

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zone 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Individual Abrasion Resistance Results: - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

/ two two to the time to	, 101 00011 1001 (00	,							
Zone 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	100%	5.47	6.48	6.59	13.15	10.00	8.91	8.43	G
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material B	60%	0.60	0.30	0.25	0.38	0.30	0.36	0.36	Р
Material C	40%	0.39	0.33	0.35	0.42	0.22	0.26	0.33	Р
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material B	55%	0.60	0.30	0.25	0.38	0.30	0.36	0.36	Р
Material C	45%	0.39	0.33	0.35	0.42	0.22	0.26	0.33	Р

Details of materials used in jacket

Material A	Leather shell with foam insert and mesh inner liner
Material B	Mesh fabric shell with fabric inner liner
Material C	Fabric shell with mesh inner liner



Burst Strength

The jacket was tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst Strength Performance

Burst rating	10/10
Burst score	1438

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

Individual Burst Strength Results: - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Zones 1 & 2	1283	1204	1718	1434	1792	1733	1527	G
Zones 3 & 4	995	879	765	1035	1117	1702	1082	G



Impact Protection

The jacket was tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance

Impact rating	5/10
Impact score	39.5

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

^{*} Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on each impact protector in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Impact protector type	Elbow		Shoulder
Average force (kN)	21.9	A	22.8 A
Maximum force (kN)	22.8	A	24.1 A
Coverage of Zone 1 area	110%	<u> </u>	100%
Coverage of Zone after displacement	90%		100%

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	t protector type Elbow				Shoulder			
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge		
Impact Protector 1	21.6	21.3	22.3	21.9	23.3	23.5		
Impact Protector 2	21.1	22.2	22.3	22.0	22.2	24.1		
Impact Protector 3	21.9	22.0	22.8	22.5	22.0	23.9		



Breathability

The jacket was tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable liners		With water-resistant liner		
Breathability rating	*	Brea	thability rating	7
Breathability score	0.254	Brea	thability score	0.106
Moisture Vapour Resistance - R _{et} (kPa.m²/W)		1	2	Average
Without removable line	rs	62.9	63.1	63.0
With water-resistant line	er	225.9	247.4	236.7
Thermal Resistance - R _{ct} (K.m²/W)		1	2	Average
Without removable line	rs	0.265	0.269	0.267
With water-resistant line	er	0.418	0.414	0.416

Water spray and rain resistance

This jacket is advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorb	ed by garment	Water absorbed by underwear		
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	
Jacket 1	1062	47%	67	23%	
Jacket 2	723	32%	135	46%	
Average	892	39%	101	34%	

Location of wetting

There was minor wetting to the cotton underwear present at the cuffs of the sleeves and major wetting at the neck and chest for one jacket, and major wetting at the back of the other jacket tested.

Assessment Details.	
Brand	Dririder
Model	Nordic 4 Airflow
Туре	Jacket - Textile
Date purchased	3 April 2023
Tested by	AMCAF, Deakin University
Report approved by	MotoCAP Chief Scientist
Garment test reference	J23T18
Rating first published	June 2023
Rating updated	19 June 2023