

MOTOCAP

This MotoCAP safety	rating applies	to:
Brand	Rideract	
Model	Wander Origin	
Туре	Jacket - Textile	
Date purchased	1 June 2023	
Sizes tested	XL and 2XL	
Test garment gender	Male	
Style	All Purpose	
RRP	\$119.99	
Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Ratin	ng 🖌	12.8
Abrasion	1/10	0.23
Burst	10/10	1167
lance and	4/4.0	0.0

Impact	1/10	0.0
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	+	0.158
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	105.7
Thermal Resistance	-	0.278
Water resistance	1/10	51.9

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the elbows, shoulders and back. The limb impact protectors in this garment were found to provide negligible impact protection. Replacing the elbow and shoulder armour with higher performing impact protectors would improve the protection levels of this garment. There are no vents to allow airflow movement through the garment. Breathability was measured without the removable thermal liner installed.

# Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.





## **Abrasion Resistance**

The jacket was tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resista	nce Performance
Abrasion rating	1/10

0.23

Abrasion score

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zone 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

**Individual Abrasion Resistance Results:** - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

# Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zone 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	50%	1.20	1.24	0.97	1.21	1.20	0.93	1.13 P
Material B	50%	0.51	0.34	0.38	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.38 P
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	15%	1.20	1.24	0.97	1.21	1.20	0.93	1.13 M
Material B	85%	0.51	0.34	0.38	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.38 P
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material B	100%	0.51	0.34	0.38	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.38 P

#### Details of materials used in jacket

Material A	Quilted fabric shell with mesh inner liner
Material B	Woven fabric shell with mesh inner liner



# **Burst Strength**

The jacket was tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst Strength	Performance
Burst rating	10/10
Burst score	1167

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

**Individual Burst Strength Results:** - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

## Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Zones 1 & 2	1266	1217	1043	1042	1595	1114	1213	G
Zones 3 & 4	873	664	1478	963	700	1218	983	Α



## **Impact Protection**

The jacket was tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



\* Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

**Individual Impact Protector Results:** - The table below shows the test results for each strike on each impact protector in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Impact protector type	Elbow	Shoulder
Average force (kN)	50.0 P	50.0 P
Maximum force (kN)	50.0 P	50.0 P
Coverage of Zone 1 area	120%	120%
Coverage of Zone after displacement	80%	100%

**Individual Impact Protector Results:** - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

## Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Elbow			Shoulder		
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Impact Protector 2	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Impact Protector 3	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0



# Breathability

The jacket was tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable l	With water-resistant liner				
Breathability rating	*	Breat	thability rating	N/A	
Breathability score	0.158	Breat	thability score	N/A	
Moisture Vapour Resis	1	2	Average		
Without removable liner	S	108.4	103.0	105.7	
With water-resistant liner		N/A	N/A	N/A	
Thermal Resistance - F	R <sub>ct</sub> (K.m²/W)	1	2	Average	
Without removable liner	S	0.275	0.282	0.278	
With water-resistant line	r	N/A	N/A	N/A	

# Water spray and rain resistance

This jacket is advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbed by garment		Water absorbed by underwear	
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)
Jacket 1	591	51%	148	49%
Jacket 2	358	32%	155	54%
Average	474	42%	152	52%

#### Location of wetting

There was major wetting to the cotton underwear present at the neck and chest for both jackets tested.

Assessment Details.	
Brand	Rideract
Model	Wander Origin
Туре	Jacket - Textile
Date purchased	1 June 2023
Tested by	AMCAF, Deakin University
Report approved by	MotoCAP Chief Scientist
Garment test reference	J23T34
Rating first published	August 2023
Rating updated	9 August 2023