


This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:



Brand	Dainese
Model	Casual Regular
Type	Pants - Textile
Date purchased	22 November 2023
Sizes tested	32 and 34
Test garment gender	Female
Style	All Purpose
RRP	\$369.00

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	★	25.6
Abrasion	1/10	0.64
Burst	10/10	1289
Impact	4/10	31.8
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	★★★	0.487
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	18.7
Thermal Resistance	-	0.152
Water resistance	N/A	N/A

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the knees and hips. There are no vents to allow airflow movement through the garment. There is the potential for burns from heat transferred through the fly button and pocket studs of the pants during a slide.

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.


Removable liners

- Thermal liner
- Water-resistant liner

Removable impact protection

	Pockets	Armour
Knee	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Hip	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Abrasion Resistance

These pants were tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	1/10
Abrasion score	0.64

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zones 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Individual Abrasion Resistance Results: - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zones 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	40%	1.97	1.26	1.44	1.69	1.47	1.38	1.54	M
Material B	60%	1.17	0.59	0.99	0.87	0.74	0.80	0.86	P
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material B	100%	1.17	0.59	0.99	0.87	0.74	0.79	0.86	M
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material B	100%	1.17	0.59	0.99	0.87	0.74	0.79	0.86	M

Details of materials used in pant

Material A	Woven fabric shell and para-aramid fabric layer
Material B	Woven fabric shell

Burst Strength

These pants were tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst Strength Performance

Burst rating	10/10
Burst score	1289

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

Individual Burst Strength Results: - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Zones 1 & 2	1300	1260	1582	1245	1549	1155	1348	G
Zones 3 & 4	1292	1045	1122	886	924	1036	1051	G

Impact Protection

These pants were tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance

Impact rating 4/10
Impact score 31.8

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

* Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the average and maximum force transmitted through each impact protector type in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone.

Impact protector type	Knee		Hip	
Average force (kN)	20.9	A	20.2	A
Maximum force (kN)	22.9	A	22.4	A
Coverage of Zone 1 area	80%		100%	
Coverage of Zone after displacement	50%		90%	

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Knee			Hip		
	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1	22.6	20.4	20.6	19.2	20.8	20.7
Impact Protector 2	20.4	19.0	19.7	19.9	18.6	20.7
Impact Protector 3	21.8	20.7	22.9	18.8	20.4	22.4

Breathability

These pants were tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable liners

Breathability rating	★★★
Breathability score	0.487

With water-resistant liner

Breathability rating	N/A
Breathability score	N/A

Moisture Vapour Resistance - R_{et} (kPa.m ² /W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liners	19.9	17.6	18.7
With water-resistant liner	N/A	N/A	N/A
Thermal Resistance - R_{ct} (K.m ² /W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liners	0.149	0.155	0.152
With water-resistant liner	N/A	N/A	N/A

Water spray and rain resistance

This pants have not been advertised as water-resistant so has not been tested for water spray and rain resistance.

Assessment Details.

Brand	Dainese
Model	Casual Regular
Type	Pants - Textile
Date purchased	22 November 2023
Tested by	AMCAF, Deakin University
Report approved by	MotoCAP Chief Scientist
Garment test reference	P24T12
Rating first published	February 2024
Rating updated	12 February 2024