

MOTOCAP

## This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand	Rukka
Model	Rimo-R Gore-Tex Jacket
Туре	Jacket - Textile
Date purchased	22 November 2023
Sizes tested	L and XL
Test garment gender	Male
Style	All Purpose
RRP	\$950.00

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	*	17.0
Abrasion	1/10	0.84
Burst	10/10	1282
Impact	1/10	0.0
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	*	0.176
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	96.7
Thermal Resistance	-	0.283
Water resistance	7/10	5.5

Pockets are provided at the shoulders, elbows and back for fitting aftermarket impact protectors. Adding elbow, shoulderand back impact protectors would improve the protection levels of this garment. There are zipped vents in the chest, sides, arms and upper back to allow controlled airflow movement through the garment. The breathability rating is based on tests of the garment's materials when all vents are closed. The breathability of this product may be better when the vents can be opened.

# Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.



Rukka Rimo-R Gore-Tex Jacket Textile Jacket



## **Abrasion Resistance**

The jacket was tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	1/10
Abrasion score	0.84

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zone 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

**Individual Abrasion Resistance Results:** - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

# Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zone 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	90%	1.88	1.21	1.61	2.19	1.57	0.93	1.57 M
Material B	10%	0.36	0.27	0.18	0.49	0.26	0.19	0.29 P
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material B	100%	0.36	0.27	0.18	0.49	0.26	0.19	0.29 P
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material B	100%	0.36	0.27	0.18	0.49	0.26	0.19	0.29 P

### Details of materials used in jacket

Material A	Woven fabric patch over laminated Cordura woven fabric shell with mesh inner liner
Material B	Laminated Cordura woven fabric shell with mesh inner liner



# **Burst Strength**

The jacket was tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst Strength Performance					
Burst rating	10/10				
Burst score	1282				

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

**Individual Burst Strength Results:** - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

#### Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Zones 1 & 2	1174	1741	1727	594	1234	1581	1342	G
Zones 3 & 4	1179	760	1147	787	1058	1329	1043	G



### **Impact Protection**

This jacket was not tested for impact protection as impact protectors were not provided with the garment. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



\* Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

**Individual Impact Protector Results:** - The table below shows the test results for each strike on each impact protector in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Impact protector type	Elbow	Shoulder
Average force (kN)	P	P
Maximum force (kN)	P	P
Coverage of Zone 1 area	0%	0%
Coverage of Zone after displacement	0%	0%

**Individual Impact Protector Results:** - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

## Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Elbow	No impact prot	ector present	Shoulder	No impact pro	tector present
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1						
Impact Protector 2						
Impact Protector 3						



# Breathability

The jacket was tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable l	With	ant liner		
Breathability rating	*	Breat	thability rating	N/A
Breathability score 0.176		Breat	N/A	
Moisture Vapour Resis	stance - R <sub>et</sub> (kPa.m²/W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liner	S	97.9	95.4	96.7
With water-resistant line	r	N/A	N/A	N/A
Thermal Resistance - I	1	2	Average	
Without removable liner	S	0.293	0.274	0.283
With water-resistant line	r	N/A	N/A	N/A

# Water spray and rain resistance

This jacket is advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbed by garment		Water absorbed by underwear	
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)
Jacket 1	214	14%	27	10%
Jacket 2	151	9%	3	1%
Average	182	11%	15	6%

### Location of wetting

There was minor wetting to the cotton underwear present on the cuffs of the sleeves and on the chest on one of the jackets tested, the other showed no signs of wetting.

Assessment Details.	
Brand	Rukka
Model	Rimo-R Gore-Tex Jacket
Туре	Jacket - Textile
Date purchased	22 November 2023
Tested by	AMCAF, Deakin University
Report approved by	MotoCAP Chief Scientist
Garment test reference	J24T13
Rating first published	March 2024
Rating updated	18 March 2024