



This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand TKD

Jo/Nathan Blue Combo with

Model Coolmax Liner
Type Pants-Demin
Date purchased 20 January 2024

Sizes tested 36 and 38
Test garment gender Male
Style All Purpose


RRP \$339.95

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	**	38.4
Abrasion	4/10	3.32
Burst	7/10	748
Impact	7/10	47.8
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	***	0.443
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	26.1
Thermal Resistance	-	0.193
Water resistance	N/A	N/A

This garment has a removable protective fabric liner fitted with impact protectors for the knees. This garment was supplied with hip impact protection however there were no pockets provided to hold it in the correct place. This garment only provides the protection documented in this report when the liner is fitted. There are no vents to allow airflow movement through the garment.

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.





Abrasion Resistance

These pants were tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	4/10
Abrasion score	3.32

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zones 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Individual Abrasion Resistance Results: - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zones 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	100%	4.57	4.90	4.50	5.38	5.14	4.25	4.79 A

Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	20%	4.57	4.90	4.50	5.38	5.14	4.25	4.79	G
Material B	80%	0.87	0.64	0.87	0.40	0.33	0.41	0.59	Р
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material B	100%	0.87	0.64	0.87	0.40	0.33	0.41	0.59	М

Details of materials used in pant

Material A	Denim fabric shell,	para-aramid fabric	layer and n	nesh inner liner

Material B Denim fabric shell with mesh inner liner



Burst Strength

These pants were tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Bu	rst S	Strei	ngth Performance
_			- /

Burst rating	7/10
Burst score	748

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

Individual Burst Strength Results: - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Zones 1 & 2	902	662	786	599	1073	973	833	Α
Zones 3 & 4	318	372	356	462	498	446	408	Р



Impact Protection

These pants were tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance
Impact rating 7/10
Impact score 47.8

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

^{*} Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the average and maximum force transmitted through each impact protector type in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone.

Impact protector type	Knee		Hip	
Average force (kN)	17.0	A	14.4	G
Maximum force (kN)	25.1	M	15.4	Α
Coverage of Zone 1 area	1.2		1.5	
Coverage of Zone after displacement	0.7		0.5	

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Page 4 of 5

Impact protector type	Knee			Hip		
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1	11.6	14.7	18.7	14.4	14.4	14.4
Impact Protector 2	13.4	15.4	25.1	13.4	14.6	14.9
Impact Protector 3	15.7	17.2	21.0	13.6	14.4	15.4



Breathability

These pants were tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable li	With water-resistant liner				
Breathability rating ★★★		Breathability rating		N/A	
Breathability score	0.443	Breat	hability score	N/A	
Moisture Vapour Resis	tance - R _{et} (kPa.m²/W)	1	2	Average	
Without removable liners	3	25.9	26.3	26.1	
With water-resistant line	r	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Thermal Resistance - F	R _{ct} (K.m²/W)	1	2	Average	
Without removable liners	3	0.198	0.188	0.193	
With water-resistant line	r	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Water spray and rain resistance

This pants have not been advertised as water-resistant so has not been tested for water spray and rain resistance.

Assessment Details.			
Brand	TKD		
Model	Jo/Nathan Blue Combo with Coolmax Liner		
Туре	Pants-Demin		
Date purchased	20 January 2024		
Tested by	AMCAF, Deakin University		
Report approved by	MotoCAP Chief Scientist		
Garment test reference	P24D10		
Rating first published	March 2024		
Rating updated	13 March 2024		

Page 5 of 5