





This MotoCAP safety assessment applies to:

Brand SAS-TEC Model N/A Part Number SC-1/01

Recent test date 6 February 2021

Limb Shoulder
Type Size A
CE Level CE Level 2
CE test temperature Normal
RRP N/A

Test Results Summary	Performance	Score	
MotoCAP Armour Performance	7/10	47.7	

Determining Criteria

This armour was tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Average force (kN) 17.2 Maximum force (kN) 26.4 Acceptable Marginal

Shoulder coverage 115%

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

	•	` '		<u> </u>	
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Force (kN)	
Impact Protector 1	11.9	14.6	24.3	< 15 Good	
Impact Protector 2	13.0	14.0	15.9	15 - 24 Acceptable	
Impact Protector 3	16.2	18.4	26.4	25 - 30 Marginal	
				> 30 Poor	

Previous Performance: The results in the table below are for the last nine times this impact protector model was tested. They indicate the consistancy of the products performance over time.

| Test date | 6/02/2021 | N/A |
|--------------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Average force (kN) | 17.2 | N/A |
| Max force (kN) | 26.4 | N/A |
| Coverage (%) | 115% | N/A |
| Armour score | 47.7 | N/A |
| Armour Performance | 7/10 | N/A |

Assessment Details.

Brand SAS-TEC Model N/A Limb Shoulder

Recent test date 6 February 2021

Tested by AMCAF, Deakin University

Armour test reference A24S19

First Published October 2021

Performance updated 15 October 2021



Glossary

The armour described in these reports is certified by the manufacturer to EN1621-1:2015 standards. Certification details, including type, CE level, and CE test temperature, are provided for easy identification of the armour both online and in-store. MotoCAP results, shown in the columns for Performance /10, Score, Average Force, Maximum Force, and Previous performance, are tested and calculated according to MotoCAP protocols. MotoCAP armour testing is conducted at 23°C and 50% humidity.

ì
cifies the EN1621-1:2015 armour size classification. Type B is larger than Type A.
cates the protection level of the armour according to EN1621-1:2015. Level 2 offers greater act energy absorption compared to Level 1.
ertified armour tested by the manufacturer according to EN1621-1:2015 at 23°C and 50% nidity only is denoted by "normal". Armour certified at an additional lower temperature (-10°C) is oted by "T-". Armour certified at an additional higher temperature (40°C) is denoted by "T+".
coCAP performance out of 10, reflecting the level of protection based on the MotoCAP score. The performance indicates better protection.
MotoCAP score for the armour, derived from the average force, maximum force, and coverage of specified limb zone 1 risk area.
average force measured from nine impacts on the armour. A lower average force indicates higher act protection.
highest force measured from the nine impacts. A lower maximum force indicates better tection.
extent of the zone 1 risk area covered by the impact protector. Coverage is limb-specific and es for different body parts. Higher coverage numbers denote better higher coverage and eased protection.
ws MotoCAP performance from impact protectors previously evaluated. Multiple results may be ed if a model of impact protector has been tested on multiple dates, providing insights into our consistency.
recommended retail price (RRP) in Australian dollars (\$AUD), sourced from online and in-store ilers, for reference purposes. N/A reflects limited or no availability at test date and is included reference only.
date of the most recent test for the armour.
ic a control of the c